	SEP 2 6 2007	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/055,856	01/25/2002	Edwin Daniel Pratts		4927
Edwin Daniel P	7590 09/07/2007		EXAM	INER
Susan Lyn Pratt	S		BAIG, SA	AHAR A
500 Prospect St Lakewood, NJ (			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

OIPE 40				
/ 0'\	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
SEP 2.6 2007 W	10/055,856	PRATTS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
TO THE RESERVE	Sahar A. Baig	2623		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	<u>une 2002</u> .			
,	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
<ul><li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examine</li><li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 March 2001 is/are:</li></ul>		o by the Examiner		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicat Frity documents have been receiv In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldszmidt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,195,680) in view of Gladstone (U.S. Patent No. 4,914,505).

Regarding Claim 1, Goldszmidt discloses a system **Figure 1A** for transmitting desired digital media and audio signals having at least one custom configured video server **1.7** including a digital video data storage device for storing digital video data **1.1** and a streaming unit reading the digital video data from the digital video data storage device to perform a digital video streaming process on the digital video data **1.2**, said system for transmitting desired digital media and audio signals providing a subscriber with digital video-on-demand service at a request from the subscriber **Figure 4**, comprising: a custom management unit managing the process of each digital video server and determining if spare capacity exists on the digital video server **4.2**; a full screen digital-video-on-demand service with no downloading or buffering unit providing a requested digital video data through a full screen digital video-on-demand service which broadcasts the digital video data storage device

Art Unit: 2623

along through high speed telecommunication signals, wherein the digital video data is played upon a request of the subscriber [Col. 3 lines 12-21; Features for automatically and gracefully switching clients among multiple servers in the event that a server becomes overloaded or fails. Cases where clients are receiving a continuous multimedia stream and the switching must be transparent to the client and maintain uninterrupted playback of the multimedia streams. When a server fails, its respective client agents detect the failure and automatically switch to alternate servers that continue to provide the client agents with the real-time multimedia streams]; a service switch determining unit which determines, upon receipt of a request, to watch the selected digital video from the subscriber, whether a selection of the requested video is to be streamed in the full-video-ondemand service or to be downloaded by the distributor of the digital content, depending on management information managed by said custom configured digital video/content server or whether spare capacity exists on the client end user, a broadcast unit broadcasting the requested live digital video by said full video-on-demand service providing unit for the subscriber according to a determination result from said service switch determining unit [Col. 11 lines 26-29; These audio and video files are downloaded from a server and stored at the client before they are played. More recently, streamed audio and video has become available from both stored and live sources on the Web]. However Goldszmidt fails to teach that the videos may be in a holographic format. In an analogous art, Gladstone discloses a high definition television system that

Art Unit: 2623

transmits a hologram of an object to be televised **[Col. 1 lines 39-43].** Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Goldszmidt and Gladstone to provide consumers with a system that transmits 3-Dimensional holographic media over telecommunication lines.

Regarding Claim 2 and 3, the combined system of Goldszmidt and Gladstone disclose transmitting 3-Dimensional holographic multimedia over telecommunication lines. In particular, Goldszmidt teaches of a system for transmitting desired digital media and audio signals wherein said load state management unit manages a number of digital video/content programs being processed by each digital video server; and said service switch determining unit switches a service for the subscriber from the full-video-ondemand service to the broadcast-on-demand service when the requested broadcast is newly broadcasted and the number of the video programs managed by said load state management unit exceeds a predetermined bandwidth [Col. 5 lines 55-64; Each instance of the streaming process begins with a client agent 1.8 connecting to the control server 1.1 requesting the multimedia stream. The control server then assigns and redirects the client to one of the streaming servers in either of the two groups (1.5, 1.6). The assignment can be based on a conventional round-robin approach or based on some load-balancing heuristics. For example, the server 1.1 can redirect the client 1.8 to a streaming server

Art Unit: 2623

based on a weighted round-robin approach, or to a streaming server having a lowest utilization rate].

Regarding Claim 4, the combined system of Goldszmidt and Gladstone disclose transmitting 3-Dimensional holographic multimedia over telecommunication lines. In particular, Goldszmidt teaches of a system wherein said load state management unit manages the number of current subscribers to each video whose data is stored in the digital video data storage device in each custom video server [Col. 1 lines 17-20; multiple servers can be used to provide the same multimedia stream to a large number of clients. Clients are directed to one of a multiplicity of servers to obtain the multimedia stream in real-time]; and said service switch determining unit switches a service for the subscriber from the fullvideo-on-demand service to the live broadcast-on-demand service when the requested video is newly broadcast and the number of the subscribers managed by said load state management unit exceeds a predetermined threshold [Col. 1 lines 17-20; the client agent 3.5 may monitor the effective bit rate of the stream. If the bit rate falls below a given threshold, the connection to the server 3.2 can be considered to have failed. As a result of this failure, the client agent 3.5 can send a message 3.10 to the control server 3.1, requesting to be switched to an alternate server].

Art Unit: 2623

3. Claim 5 –14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldszmidt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,195,680) in view of Gladstone (U.S. Patent No. 4,914,505), in further view of Imajima et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,211,901).

Regarding Claim 5, the combined system of Goldszmidt and Gladstone disclose transmitting 3-Dimensional holographic multimedia over telecommunication lines. However, both Goldszmidt and Gladstone fail to clearly describe the capability of the broadcasting unit to stop the video according to management information managed by said subscriber number management unit when it is determined that no subscriber is watching the video being broadcast in the live broadcast-ondemand service. In an analogous art, Imajima discloses a video on demand system where broadcast is stopped once its detected that no subscriber are watching the program [Col. 7 lines 30-33; When the broadcast unit 3 determines, according to the management information from the audience number management unit 11, that there are no subscribers watching the program being broadcast in the NVOD, it stops the broadcast of the program]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the systems of Goldszmidt and Gladstone to include the means for stopping broadcast when an absence of subscriber is detected.

Regarding Claim 6 and 9, the combined system of Goldszmidt, Gladstone, and Imajima disclose transmitting 3-Dimensional holographic multimedia over telecommunication lines. In particular Imajima teaches of a system **Figure 3** 

Art Unit: 2623

wherein a title/author selection control unit providing an interactive inputting operation for selecting a title of a video or media content requested and displayed on a monitor of a receiving terminal device on a subscriber side in a same format in the full-video-on-demand service and the live broadcast-on-demand service.

Regarding Claim 7 and 8, the combined system of Goldszmidt, Gladstone, and Imajima disclose transmitting 3-Dimensional holographic multimedia over telecommunication lines. In particular Imajima teaches of a system that further comprises a subscriber storage unit storing a list of subscribers when a video is to be broadcast after being switched to the broadcast-on-demand service by said service switch determining unit and when another subscriber issues a request to watch the video during the actual broadcast of the video after switching to the live broadcast-on-demand service, wherein said broadcast unit provides said list of subscribers stored in said subscriber storage unit with the video in the live broadcast-on-demand service [Col. 7 lines 55-64; a subscriber storage device 21 in addition to the load state management unit 1, service switch determining unit 2, and broadcast unit 3. The subscriber storage device 21 stores a list of subscribers requesting a video program when the service is switched by the service switch determining unit 2 to the NVOD service, and when a subscriber requests to watch the video program after the switch and before the actual

Art Unit: 2623

broadcast of the program. The broadcast unit 3 also provides the NVOD service to the users stored in the subscriber storage device 21].

Regarding Claim 10, the combined system of Goldszmidt, Gladstone, and Imajima disclose transmitting 3-Dimensional holographic multimedia over telecommunication lines. In particular Imajima teaches of a system that comprises: a first service switch determining unit determining, upon receipt of a request to watch a video from the subscriber, whether a broadcast of the requested video is to be serviced in the full-video-on-demand service or the live broadcast-on-demand service depending on management information managed by said load state management unit including whether spare capacity exists on the custom configured digital video server as determined by said load state management unit [Col. 4 lines 21-42; a second service switch determining unit determining, upon receipt of a request to download a video from the provider, whether a broadcast of the requested video is to be downloaded by the specific user a broadcast unit broadcasting the requested video by said full-video-ondemand service providing unit or said live broadcast-on-demand service providing unit to the subscriber according to a determination result from either said first or second service switch determining unit [Col. 20 lines 47-58; The video data distributing device according to claim 1, wherein said load state management unit manages a number of current subscribers to each video whose data is stored in the video data storage device in each video server; and said

Art Unit: 2623

service switch determining unit switches a service for the subscriber from the full-video-on-demand service to the near-video-on-demand service when the requested video is newly broadcast and the number of the subscribers managed by said load state management unit exceeds a predetermined threshold.

Regarding Claim 11, the combined system of Goldszmidt, Gladstone, and Imajima disclose transmitting 3-Dimensional holographic multimedia over telecommunication lines. In particular Imajima teaches of a system that comprises: a service information broadcast unit broadcasting various service information to the subscriber after switching by said service switch determining unit a broadcast of a video from the full-video-on-demand service to the live broadcast-on-demand service until an actual broadcast of the video starts [Col. 22 lines 11-19].

Regarding Claim 12, the combined system of Goldszmidt, Gladstone, and Imajima disclose transmitting 3-Dimensional holographic multimedia over telecommunication lines. In particular Imajima teaches of a system that comprises: determining, at a request from a subscriber for a full video-on-demand service, whether or not video data can be provided in a full-video-on-demand service which broadcasts the digital video data stored in the digital video data storage device along high speed telecommunication signals, wherein the broadcasted digital video data is played upon a request of the subscriber; and

Art Unit: 2623

providing the subscriber has the capabilities to have the proper bandwidth in order to retrieve the desired full digital video-on-demand service [Col. 22 lines 20-35].

Regarding Claim 13, the combined system of Goldszmidt, Gladstone, and Imajima disclose transmitting 3-Dimensional holographic multimedia over telecommunication lines. In particular Imajima teaches of a system that comprises: a load state management unit to determine a load and whether any excess capacity exists on said video server by monitoring the number of video programs being streamed and the number of subscribers viewing each of the video programs being delivered; a full-video-on-demand service providing unit providing a requested digital video data through a full-video-on-demand service which broadcasts the video data stored in the video server along a high speed telecommunication signal wherein the broadcasted video data is played upon a request of a subscriber; a live broadcast-on-demand service providing unit providing said requested digital video data through a live broadcast-on-demand service which broadcasts the digital video data stored in the digital video server along plural channels for live video on demand at the predetermined time; a broadcasting unit to transmit the live video program immediately along the one channel for full digital video on demand when said service switch determining unit determines to provide the live video program via the full-video-on-demand service and to broadcast the video program in the time period, the said service

Art Unit: 2623

switch determining unit determines to provide the video program in the live broadcast-video-on-demand service [Col. 22 line 34 – Col. 23 line 5].

Regarding Claim 14, the combined methods of Goldszmidt, Gladstone, and Imajima disclose transmitting 3-Dimensional holographic multimedia over telecommunication lines. In particular Imajima teaches of a system that comprises: receiving a program request from a subscriber; determining whether spare capacity exists on the video server to deliver the program requested to the subscriber in full screen video on demand; transmitting a requested digital video data, corresponding to the program, stored in the video server immediately to the subscriber along one channel for full screen video on demand when spare capacity exists on the video server of the subscriber; informing the subscriber of a viewing time for the program in live broadcast-on-demand when spare capacity does not exist on the video server of the subscriber, the viewing time included in plural viewing times separated by corresponding predetermined time intervals; determining if the subscriber agrees to receive the program at the viewing time in near video on demand when spare capacity does not exist on the video server of the subscriber; and broadcasting said requested video data stored in the video server along plural channels for near video on demand upon arrival of the viewing time when the subscriber agrees to receive the program in near video on demand at the viewing time [Col. 23 line 6 – Col. 24 line 15].

Art Unit: 2623

4. Claim 15-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldszmidt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,195,680) in view of Gladstone (U.S. Patent No. 4,914,505), in further view of Imajima et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,211,901), in further view of Branstad et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,533,021).

Regarding Claims 15-17 the combined systems of Goldszmidt, Gladstone, and Imajima disclose transmitting 3-Dimensional holographic multimedia over telecommunication lines. However they fail to explicitly teach that the digital video being transferred and stored onto its dedicated server is time and date stamped. And that the data format is byte form. In an analogous art, Brastad expresses the claimed limitations [Col. 2 line 9-20]. Therefore it would have been obvious to include these well-known limitations in the combined systems of Goldszmidt, Gladstone, and Imajima.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. It includes Hannan (U.S. Patent No. 4,142,204).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sahar A. Baig whose telephone number is 571-270-3005. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/5/9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,856 Page 13

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SB

CHRIS KELLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

# Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No.

10/055,856

Examiner

Sahar A. Baig

Applicant(s)/Patent Under
Reexamination
PRATTS ET AL.

Art Unit
Page 1 of 1

#### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-6,195,680	02-2001	Goldszmidt et al.	709/203
*	В	US-4,914,505	04-1990	Gladstone, Ernest	348/41
*	С	US-4,142,204	02-1979	Hannan, William J.	348/41
*	D	US-6,211,901	04-2001	Imajima et al.	725/93
	E	US-		IPE	
	F	US-		0 40	
	G	US-		CED o a 2007	
	Н	US-		رة ا	
	1	US-			
	J	US-		STATE OF THE PERSON OF THE PER	
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р		•			
	α					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

#### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	٧	
	w	
	x	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Organization (2000) Bldg./Room KNCX U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

TO NO SHOW THE PARTY OF THE PAR



